

OHIVEISHA FHORMEGHING DESIGN CHOMENGE HOGIGN	University	/ Enaineerina	Design Challenge	Program
--	------------	---------------	-------------------------	---------

Jon Gerhardt
THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON

11/04/2014 Final Report

DISTRIBUTION A: Distribution approved for public release.

Air Force Research Laboratory

AF Office Of Scientific Research (AFOSR)/ RTB

Arlington, Virginia 22203

Air Force Materiel Command

data needed, and completing a this burden to Department of D	and reviewing this collection of Defense, Washington Headqua	information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for	regarding this burden estimate or a Information Operations and Reports	iny other aspect of this cost (0704-0188), 1215 Jeffe	offing existing data sources, garriering and maintaining the billection of information, including suggestions for reducing erson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-h a collection of information if it does not display a currently
valid OMB control number. PL	LEASE DO NOT RETURN YO				
1. REPORT DATE (DI 31-10-2014		Final Report			DATES COVERED (From - To) 09-2011 to 31-07-2014
4. TITLE AND SUBTIT	LE	,		5a.	CONTRACT NUMBER
University enginee	ring Design Challe	enge Program		-	ODANT NUMBER
					GRANT NUMBER 9550-11-1-0226
					PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
				Fin	al
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d.	PROJECT NUMBER
JON gerhardt				50	TASK NUMBER
				Je.	TASK NOMBER
				5f.	WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORG	SANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT
The University of A				r	NUMBER
Office of Research					
302 Buchtell Comr Akron, ohio 44325					
7.KTOTI, OTIIO 44320	7 0002				
9. SPONSORING / MC	NITODING ACENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDD	ESS/ES)	10	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
9. SPONSORING / INC	MITORING AGENCT	NAME(S) AND ADDRI	E33(E3)	10.	SPONSOR/MONITOR S ACRONTINGS)
ASAF, AFRL					
Air Force Office of	Scientific Researc	ch			SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT
875 North Randolp					NUMBER(S)
Arlington VA 22203		MENT			
	WAILABILITY OTATE	INCIVI			
open					
13. SUPPLEMENTAR	Y NOTES				
14. ABSTRACT					
The University Eng	gineering Design C	hallenge Program	is a competition amo	ng Universities	utilizing engineering seniors to
					students. Each year a problem of
	ary is posed as a c	design challenge, v	vith a competition am	ong the design	solutions occuring at the end of
each year.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS	j				
engineering desigr					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON jON gERHARDT
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	UU	2	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area
UU	υυ	UU			code) 330-805-0363
	1	1	1	1	000-000 - 0000

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 This is the final report for The University of Akron "University Engineering Design Challenge Program" for the period Sept. 2011 through July 2014.

The first year of the challenge we were tasked with designing a system to scale a 30 foot obstacle which was later changed to an 80 foot concrete silo. With very good support from the team from AFOSC our team came up with a solution utilizing a powered nail gun fixtured to attach specially designed hand/foot holds to the silo. A battery operated winch was also designed and built to rapidly lift further troops to the top once the first person arrived at the top.

The hand/foot hold devices with the nail gun worked successfully up to the point where the concrete silo and hged to the point the nail gun could not penetrate the concrete. Our lift worked for the students, but was not sufficiently powered to carry the appropriate weight.

Although not as successful as we had hoped, the students gained a very good understanding of the design process and learned a tremendous amount for observing the other Universities designs.

The second year challenge was to cross a gap of up to 20 feet with a portable device. That year's team designed a portable bridge constructed from telescoping carbon fiber tubes with attached latching devices. The side tubes supported cargo netting for footing. The system worked very well allowing our team to go the full distance and return. Drawbacks included having dayglo yellow cargo netting making the bridge a visible target and attachment points for the cross support subject to breakage. One further problem noted at the competition was significant deflection which made rapid crossing sess stable. If we had been concerned with this in the design we could have made the tubes a larger diameter with only a small increase in weight.

Again, The competition was organized very well and efficiently run. The students received much feedback, both positive and instructive, making the competition an extremely valuable experience.

The third year of the competition was a "heavy lift" rescue mission. Our team made a very unique system to lift the weight. It consisted of 4 ball screw jacks with a remote controller. Our team learned a valuable lesson in time management as they were finishing the construction of the controller in the hotel the night before the competition. It turns out that they did not tighten the contacts and there was sparking, so they were not able to demonstrate the operation.

As usual, the competition was very well run and many design lessons were learned not only from their own failures, but also observing the other teams compete.

From an educator's viewpoint, this program was an example of exactly how a good design competition should be run, and was a valuable learing tool in design for all students involved.